

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/575,330	MESSER ET AL	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Michelle L. Stein	1797	

**All Participants:**

(1) Michelle L. Stein.

(2) Martin Fessenmaier.

**Status of Application:** Pending

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 13 April 2010

**Time:** 11:45 AM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

**Claims discussed:**

1-33

**Prior art documents discussed:**

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*A lack of unity restriction was requirement was made between Group I - drawn to claims 1-9 and 18-33 with the special technical feature of alpha and beta fractions of naphthenic acid, Group II-drawn to claims 10-17 with special technical feature of the apparatus, and Group III, drawn to the second claim 33 with the special technical feature of the iron chelator. Mr. Fessenmaier elected Group I, without traverse.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)